		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	narv	10/721,105	HONDA ET AL.
Zammo: mitatea mitorview Gammary		Examiner	Art Unit
•		Ha T. Nguyen	2812
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>Ha T. Nguyen</u> .		(3)	
(2) Mr. Cameron K. Weiffenbach (Reg. NNo. 4	<u>44,488)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 July 2005</u>		Time: <u>9:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None	·		•
Claims discussed: 13 and 16			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The examiner called Mr. Weiffenbach to propose an E (see Examiner's Amendment). Mr. Weiffenbach authority	xaminer's	Amendment that would put th	-
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		,	
Im			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)